NSC BRIEFING

## AFGHANISTAN

I. Afghan-Pakistani crisis currently stalemated; next move by Afghans is key to further developments.

- A. Crisis began with 29 Mar belligerent pro-Pushtoonistan speech by Afghan prime minister Daud (see background on Pushto problem).
  - Daud predicted "disorder" because of Pak merger Western provinces into single unit (move reduces tribal autonomy).
- B. "Disorder" arrived on schedule -- in next three days, there were riots in Kabul (which wrecked Pak embassy), demonstrations in Kandahar, Jalalabad (where Paks have consulates).
- C. Foreign observers agree "disorders" governmentinspired: numerous signs advance preparation.
- D. Assumption is they were work of Daud.
  - 1. Probably effort demonstrate his strength, enlist support.
  - 2. Daud under growing pressure to curtail his program of increased economic ties with USSR.
- II. Early reports said USSR had incited rioters.
  - A. This raised fears that Soviet, after year-long economic penetration program, was becoming openly involved in situation.

- B. Strong Pak reaction also raised spectre foreign intervention from that quarter.
  - 1. Paks made reprisal attack on Afghan consulate
    Peshawar 1 Apr.
  - 2. Pak government suggested to US that Daud and whole Afghan royal family be removed.
- C. Finally, "disorders" were followed by reports that tribal elements in both Pakistan and Afghanistan were on move toward Kabul--raising possibility of major tribal unrest.
- III. However, present situation offers prospect for peaceful solution -- at least in short run.
  - A. Tribal movements squelched, no sign of immediate recurrence.
  - B. Situation in Kabul, other Afghan towns quiet; government apparently in full control.
  - C. Danger of Pak intervention, overthrow royal family, apparently subsided. 25X6B
    - 1.
    - 2. Paks now doing utmost maintain quiet atmosphere in Pakistan.
  - D. Reports of Soviet involvement not confirmed, and prospect for intervention unlikely.
    - 1. Overt Soviet activity limited to a few press and radio releases favorable to Afghans.

- 2. One press report (NY Times' Callahan, from Karachi)
  now admitted by writer to be "invention" to give
  fresh lead to old story.
- E. Actual Soviet aim believed long-range economic penetration.
  - Value of forcefully seized Afghanistan to USSR
    probably not worth risk of alienation neutralists
    (including India), or risk of speeding Western
    arms aid to Iran, Pakistan.
  - 2. Only direct intervention by Paks, or chaos created by tribal uprising, likely to change Soviet view.
- IV. Future therefore seems to depend on Afghans.
  - A. Weight of present scanty evidence suggests Prime Minister Daud will be "disciplined" to save face of country, royal family.
  - B. "Disciplining" would consist either of removal from office or retention on promise of good behavior.
    - 1. If Daud removed, situation likely to return to normal.
    - If Daud remains, either as victor of struggle for power or on sufferance, short-range outlook probably still not alarming.
    - 3. Daud probably cowed somewhat by strong diplomatic protests over March incidents: also worried over fact that all tribal movements following incidents

-3-

Approved For Release 2000/08/30 : CTA-RDP80R01443R000300310007-9

were toward Kabul, rather than Pakistan, with looting of Afghan capital allegedly uppermost in tribesmen's minds.

- C. Longer-range outlook less good, because headstrong
  Daud likely eventually to act in manner inimical to
  West, despite any promises he may give royal family.
  - In next few years, death or incapacitation of aging royal uncles will remove last restraining element on Daud, permit him to control government by dominating king.